UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,291	12/23/2004	Jong-Pil Chung	SHN-0037 9156	
23413 7590 CANTOR COLBUR	01/11/2007 N. T.I.P		EXAMINER	
55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH			PATEL, NATASHA	
BLOOMFIELD, CT	06002		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•	. •	3766	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO	OD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
2 MONTUS	*	01/11/2007	DAD	)ED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/519,291	CHUNG ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Natasha N. Patel	3766		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)[\bar{\bar{\bar{\bar{\bar{\bar{\bar{	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 De	ecember 2004.			
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits i				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
_	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.			
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
· · · —	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.				
	7) Claim(s) <u>4-17</u> is/are objected to.				
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 December 2004</u> is/ar		ed to by the Examiner		
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d		•		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	` '		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
a)ı	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	application from the International Bureau		a III tilis i vationar otage		
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d.		
Attachment	• •	a	(DTO 442)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
3) 🛛 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>August 4, 2006</u> .	5)  Notice of Informal Pa			
S. Patent and Ti	ademark Office				

Art Unit: 3766

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 3 describes a system including a contacting terminal applied to an auricle of vagus nerves of the ear. Since the human body is positively recited in the claim, non-statutory subject matter has been claimed. See also Commissioner Quigg's notice at 1077 OG 24 (April 21, 1987). It is suggested that the Claim be rewritten to read: "adapted to contact" an auricle of vagus nerves of the ear. As long as this matter is addressed, Claim 3 contains allowable subject matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Swing (US Patent 6,522,929).
- 4. Regarding Claims 1 and 2, Swing discloses electric stimulator (electrical stimulator 20') for alpha-wave derivation comprising: a low frequency generating circuit (see Figure 1) for generating an output voltage of frequency and cycle selected from a

Art Unit: 3766

range of 1 Hz to 50 Hz to derive an alpha-wave (see col. 4, lines 21-23); and a contacting portion for applying a current to an auricle of vagus nerves of an ear (see col. 3, lines 53-57). The examiner considers that 10 Hz is automatically between 7 Hz and 14 Hz as well. Furthermore, as long as Swing discloses a contacting portion, the limitations of the claim are met because applying a current to an auricle of vagus nerves of an ear is just a statement of intended use. In other words, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

- 5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- 6. A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
- 7. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Colsen et al. (US Patent 4,966,164).
- 9. Regarding Claim 1, Colsen discloses electric stimulator (auricular treatment device 10) for alpha-wave derivation comprising: a low frequency generating circuit (see col. 5, lines 41-45) for generating an output voltage of frequency and cycle selected from a range of 1 Hz to 50 Hz to derive an alpha-wave (see col. 7, lines 49-51); and a contacting portion for applying a current to an auricle of vagus nerves of an ear (see electrodes 58 and 70). Furthermore, as long as Colsen discloses a contacting portion,

Art Unit: 3766

the limitations of the claim are met because applying a current to an auricle of vagus nerves of an ear is just a statement of intended use. In other words, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

# Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 4-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Eraker et al. (US Patent 6,662,051): contains frequency range but no wireless.

Sarwal et al. (US Patent 6,662,052): wireless but no detection unit for wire to determine operating mode.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Natasha N. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-5818. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,291

Art Unit: 3766

Page 5

13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on 571-272-6996. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NNP 1/5/07 Robert E. Pezzuto
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3766